

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
10

11 IN RE: MIDLAND CREDIT  
12 MANAGEMENT INC. TELEPHONE  
13 CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT  
14 LITIGATION  
15  
16  
17

Case No. 11-md-2286-MMA (MDD)  
Member Case No.: 15cv2477-MMA  
(MDD)

**ORDER DENYING AS MOOT  
MOTION TO LIFT STAY**

[Doc. No. 728]

18  
19 Plaintiff Jeremy R. Johnson (“Johnson”) filed a “motion for an Order lifting the  
20 stay of motion practice to permit Johnson to file a motion to amend his Complaint” under  
21 Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a)(2).<sup>1</sup> Doc. No. 728. at 1. The Court notes that there  
22 is no stay on motion practice currently in effect. Rather, this multidistrict litigation  
23 (MDL) has established common discovery parameters and deadlines typical of a standard  
24 MDL to achieve “coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings.” Doc. No. 1 at 2; *see*,  
25  
26

27 <sup>1</sup> Johnson failed to contact the undersigned’s Chambers to obtain a hearing date before filing his motion.  
28 Johnson should review this District’s Local Rules and the undersigned’s Chambers Rules for proper  
motion practice procedures. *See* S.D. Cal. Civ. R. 7.1(b); Civ. Chambers R. II.

1 e.g., Doc. Nos. 702, 725, 726. Accordingly, the Court **DENIES AS MOOT** Johnson's  
2 motion to lift the stay on motion practice. If Johnson wishes to file a motion to amend  
3 his Complaint, he must contact the undersigned's Chambers and obtain a hearing date  
4 prior to doing so.

5 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

6  
7 Dated: December 18, 2019

8 

9 Hon. Michael M. Anello

10 United States District Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28